

Skadden Firm - By Matter

Pacific Gas & Electric Company, Case No. 01-30923 DM, filed 4/6/01.

UST Analysis - June 5, 2003

Current Billing Period:	December, 2002 - March, 2003
Total Skadden Hours, Current Period:	1,544.0
Total Skadden Fees, Current Period:	\$501,392

		HOURS BILLED								FEES BILLED							
		First Fee	2nd Fee	3rd Fee	4th	5th	CURRENT	@	Cumulative	First Fee	2nd Fee	3rd Fee	4th Fee	5th Fee	CURRENT	@	Cumulative
		Application	Application	Application	Application	Application	BILLING		Hours	Application	Application	Application	Application	Application	BILLING		Fees
		Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	PERIOD	Compare	To Date	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	PERIOD	Compare	To Date
		Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours	Total Hours	Hrs. 6th	April 2001-	Fees	Fees	Fees	Fees	Fees	Total Fees	Fees 6th	April 2001-
		Apr-Jul 01	Aug-Nov 01	Dec01-Mar02	Apr 02 - Jul 02	Aug 02 - Nov 02	Dec 02- Mar 03	to Hrs. 5th	Mar 2003	Apr-Jul 01	Aug-Nov 01	Dec01-Mar02	Apr 02 - Jul 02	Aug 02 - Nov 02	Dec 02 - Mar 03	to Fees 5th	Mar 2003
Billing Category																	
Plan of Reorg (Implementation)	A	0	2,276.2	843.3	789.0	621.8	654.8	33.0	5,185.1	0	796,760	242,578	266,535	225,443	255,884	30,442	1,787,199
To General BK & Fee Applications				-124.7	-39.3	-61.0	-37.2	23.8	-262.2			-42,947	-13,328	-20,740	-12,648	8,092	-89,663
Plan of Reorg (adjusted)		0	2,276.2	718.6	749.7	560.8	617.6	56.8	4,922.9	0	796,760	199,631	253,207	204,703	243,236	38,534	1,697,536
WAPA Dispute	B	704.1	1,056.6	206.9	71.2	337.6	453.9	116.3	2,830.3	182,624	279,856	88,462	15,438	100,478	120,211	19,734	787,069
Transmission Owner Tariffs		170.8	234.4	108.1	202.2	180.9	416.0	235.1	1,312.4	49,761	76,588	42,854	36,462	65,846	116,723	50,877	388,234
Contract Termination		7.0	95.2	213.4	23	21	19	(2.0)	378.9	2,730	33,912	58,692	10,034	7,189	8,574	1,386	121,131
General BK & Fee Applications		26.4	97.6	124.7	39.3	61.0	37.2	(23.8)	386.2	7,656	28,240	42,946	13,328	20,740	12,648	(8,092)	125,558
Trans.Asset Divestiture		217.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	217.8	78,578	0	0				0	78,578
Transco		173.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	173.4	58,489	0	0				0	58,489
WEPEX		8.9	17.5	0.0	0	0	0	0	26.4	3,124	3,349	0				0	6,473
RMR Post-Transition					1.5	1.0		(1.0)	2.5				720	480		(480)	1,200
Discrepancy between electronic																	
trans to UST & fee application				7.7	0				7.7			2,451	42				2,493
Total		1,308.4	3,777.5	1,379.4	1,086.6	1,162.6	1,544.0	381.4	10,258.5	382,962	1,218,705	435,036	329,231	399,435	501,392	101,957	3,266,760
Vol.Reduction after filing fee application										-5,808	-23,510						-29,318
										-7,287	-15,000	-12,983					-35,270
Disallowed Fees										\$369,867	\$1,180,195	\$422,053	\$329,231	\$399,435	\$501,392		\$3,202,172
Adjusted Fees										Order dtd.	Order dtd.	Order dtd.	Order dtd.	Order dtd.			
										11/06/2001	04/02/2002	07/09/2002	11/01/2002	03/05/2003			

Note A

SKADDEN - IMPLEMENTATION OF PGE PLAN OF REORGANIZATION
<p>On 11/31/01, Skadden filed various regulatory applications at the FERC on PG&E's behalf which are intended to implement its disaggregation plan. Skadden's focus has primarily been transmission-related applications and issues (the proposed Etrans entity). ... Skadden has also provided services related to the analysis and drafting of the contractual agreements which will be required between the restructured entities and between the restructured entities and third parties if PG&E's plan is implemented. ... The firm's fee narrative generally describes its services in this area but does not provide a clear picture as to the current status of the various regulatory applications.</p>
\$1.697 Million thru 3/03

Note B

SKADDEN - WAPA DISPUTE	
<p>This matter is FERC docket # ER01-1639-003. It is a contract dispute with the Western Area Power Administration as to whether the WAPA contract (a) allows PG&E to recover its actual cost of purchasing power and (b) permits the pass-through of certain Cal-ISO transmission-related charges to transmission customers.</p> <p>In 9/01, a FERC judge determined PG&E lacked the contractual right to make a 205 filing to recover additional costs & increase its rates under the WAPA contract. On 10/24/01, FERC Order 61082 re-affirmed the initial decision. On 12/12/01, FERC Order 61335 denied PG&E a re-hearing. Skadden filed a petition for review with the DC Circuit Court of Appeals. Oral argument was heard on 3/13/03.</p>	<p>On 4/25/03, the Circuit Court issued its opinion. It appears the Court ruled against PG&E as to (a) recovery of actual cost but agreed FERC had erred as to (b) a rate increase to allow for the pass- through. The matter has been remanded to FERC.</p>
	<div>\$787,069 thru 3/03</div>

Skadden, Arps
by Matter